

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,645	03/29/2004	Nenad Cvjeticanin	P04,0085	2373	
26574	7590 10/06/2005		EXAMINER		
SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP			WHITE, DWAYNE J		
6600 SEARS	EPARTMENT S TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473			3745		
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/811,645 CVJETICANIN ET		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Dwayne J. White	3745	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DON'S INTERIOR OF THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, , cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this committed IS STATE (1988).	
Status				
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matter	• •	erits is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,8,11-13,18,21,29 and 30</u> is/are rejection is/are rejection and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction.	wn from consideration. cted. e objected to.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR	• •
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Sta	age
Attachmen	• •			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/04.	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-15	i2)

Art Unit: 3745

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities:

On line 3, "or" should be --of--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 18 recites the limitation "the chamber" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 8, 11-13, 21, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okuno et al. (4,867,641). Okuno et al. discloses a housing comprising a first 1 and second 4 housing part; a channel system comprising at least one channel portion (See figure 1) is formed by at least a portion of each of the housing parts. The channel portion comprises a

Art Unit: 3745

groove 18 and is an open channel. A free-flowing material, e.g. the welding material, is injected into the channel and forms a firm bond between the first and second housing parts. The Examiner wishes to point out that since Applicant does not define "compaction" and the basic definition of compaction is "the state of being compacted," it is the position of the Examiner that the weld material can be read as a compaction material in the case. Further, since the weld material is liquefied when applied to the channel the Examiner holds that the material is also "free-flowing". In regards to claim 21, since the structure claimed by Applicant is met by the structure disclosed by Okuno et al., it is the position of the Examiner that the limitation of the housing being a turbocharger housing is intended use and does not distinguish it from Okuno et al. (MPEP 2114).

Page 3

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-7, 9, 10, 14-17, 19, 20, 22-28 and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 18 is would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwayne J. White whose telephone number is (571) 272-4825. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am to 4 pm T-F and alternate Mondays.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,645 Page 4

Art Unit: 3745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dwayne J White
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3745

DJW

THOMAS E LAZO